

06 June 2019

EVIA response to ANNA DSB Industry Consultation Paper 1, Published 9th May 2019

industry_consultation@anna-dsb.com

Name	Alexander McDonald
Email address	amcdonald@evia.org.uk
Company	EVIA
Country	UK
Company Type	Trade Association
User Type	Not Registered
Select if responses should be anonymous	

#	Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
Secti	on 1: FUNCTIONALITY	
1.1	The DSB was originally set up specifically to generate OTC ISINs to meet industry's needs for MiFID II RTS 22 / 23 transaction reporting. Some DSB users have expanded their use of the DSB service for additional regulatory purposes such as generation of CFI codes for EMIR reporting. However, the DSB implementation to support EMIR has been ad-hoc and is not comprehensive, given the initial focus on OTC ISIN coverage. The DSB would therefore like to understand whether industry would like the DSB to provide a comprehensive CFI generation service for all OTC derivative products in scope of EMIR so that CFI codes could be obtained from a central source, without the need to auto-generate the OTC ISIN or the OTC ISIN data record. Question: Should the DSB investigate the provision of a service that supports the creation, search and publication of CFI codes for all products in scope of EMIR? Given the wider product scope of EMIR vs MiFID, the DSB envisions such a CFI service to be independent of the existing ISIN generation service.	No. Members have a mixed response to a stand-alone CFI central source, but on balance the general industry opinion is that no additional functionality is required. Specifically, the auto-generation attached to the response data record as currently provided is sufficient for industry needs. EVIA also underline that by consulting on additional service requirements without costing the proposal and assessing the impact on user type fees, the exercise loses substance. Furthermore, the possible outcome that service enhancements are requested by a separate user population to that which provides the funding distorts the logic behind any responses and outcomes.



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
1.2	varyii trade purpo Some main DSB asset taxor Such source main deriv ISIN reada Ques integ acrost need (mac DSB	shave integrated with the DSB service at ng points in the trading lifecycle from prethrough to post-trade, regulatory only oses. DSB users have requested that the DSB tain and publish the mapping between each product template and the associated subtclass as specified by the ESMA MiFID II nomy. a service would provide a central data ce for OTC derivatives users and could be tained on an ongoing basis as new OTC ative templates were added to the DSB (for or CFI purposes) – for use in either machine able and/or human readable contexts. Ition: Where users are programmatically rated into the DSB and seek to map data as a variety of regulatory reporting related s, should the DSB investigate provision of thine and human) readable mapping between product definition templates and the ESMA DII taxonomy's sub-asset classes?	No. Whilst MiFID Trading Venue firms do have a variety of use cases, none believe that a DSB mapping service could provide the utility provision between product definition templates and the ESMA MIFID II taxonomy required, especially because industry use cases reach to global third country regulatory reporting requirements and are not constricted to the EU. Furthermore, Brexit reporting will likely add a further layer of segregation which this likely costly addition would not address. EVIA also underline that by consulting on additional service requirements without costing the proposal and assessing the impact on user type fees, the exercise loses substance. Furthermore, the possible outcome that service enhancements are requested by a separate user population to that which provides the funding distorts the logic behind any responses and outcomes.
	defau Type defau exper Produ comr	ently, most DSB <u>product templates</u> support ult values for several attributes (e.g. Delivery and Price Multiplier). The provision of ults is intended to support the user rience, with defaults approved by the DSB uct Committee to reflect the most monly used values that match prevailing ISO dards.	
	a)	Does your firm use the DSB to generate OTC ISINs and/or CFI codes?	Yes. All MiFID Trading Venue ["TV"] firms we represent do use the DSB to generate OTC ISINs and/or CFI codes
1.3	b)	If you answered "yes" to 1.3(a) above - do you consider that the use of default values is helpful in the creation of ISINs by the DSB?	Yes. Default values are helpful, but we note that the product templates may not currently cover all required options. NDF settlement types and currencies is one area recently highlighted between TVs and user groups.
	c)	If you answered "yes" to 1.3(a) above – does your firm rely on the default values supplied in the OTC derivative product templates?	TVs do take default values in many use cases as standard products are admitted and executed.
	d)	Have you experienced any problems when using the default values supplied in the OTC derivative product templates? If so, please provide examples of use cases where problems have been experienced.	TV's may create ISINs using defaulted values, however where clients would create their own ISINs for reporting and they may their own user value, which causes discrepancies and rejections of some reports. One possible suggestion here would be to either use defaulted values on normal templates and only allow



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
			changing those in non-standard templates or removal of defaulted values all together. The absence of cash v physical or multicurrency NDF settlement types and currencies is one area recently highlighted between TVs and user groups.
1.4	The Date of the property of th	Availability OSB utilizes a number of sources to support rovision of Reference Rates and Underlying es for OTC derivative products. Ull list of underlying indices that are ported (excluding user owned proprietary es) are available here . The DSB currently tes its list of enumerated values as new s become available.	
	a)	Does your institution primarily use the DSB to create OTC ISINs and/or CFI codes (programmatically or via the GUI)?	MiFID TVs primarily create ISINs for TOTV usage. These are therefore very rarely OTC because they are on venue. MiFID TVs utilise both automation and GUI. On balance the majority of ISIN creation is via the GUI.
	b)	Does your institution primarily use the DSB to search for OTC ISINs and/or CFI codes (programmatically or via the GUI)?	No.
	c)	Do you consider that the underlying identifiers made available by the DSB are sufficient for the OTC ISINs that need to be created or accessed by your institution?	Yes.
	d)	If you answered "no" to 1.4(c) above – please provide additional sources that should be evaluated for inclusion - based on a global standard that is endorsed by the industry - and state the appropriateness of each source by asset class.	N/A



#	Question for Consultation		Participant's Response
	seard Prog allow seard capa with availa Pleas aspe the a	GUI: The existing DSB GUI allows users to ch and create ISINs as an alternative to rammatic APIs. The GUI create function is users to create one ISIN at a time and the ch functionality offers a range of searching bilities for technical users who are familiar the Lucene programming language as able here. See note that this query focuses on the search cts of the service to allow for the views of pproximately 300 firms using the DSB's GUI d search functionality.	
	a)	Does your firm primarily rely on use of the DSB GUI?	MiFID TVs utilise both automation and GUI. On balance the majority of ISIN creation is via the GUI.
1.5	b)	The existing DSB GUI search utility requires a degree of technical knowledge for more complex queries. Examples of the current search functionality are set out here . Question: Should the DSB investigate the enhancement of its web-based GUI to allow non-technical users to search for ISINs by any attribute across any product template?	MiFID TVs act as technical users due to their capacity and scale and therefore have virtually no interest in being charged fees for the build out of functionality for non-technical and substantially nonfee paying users. We note that under current funding levels however provision is made for ongoing GUI development and the release of enhanced versions for wholesale users.
	c)	If you answered "yes" to 1.5(b) above - please can you provide examples of the types of queries you would need to perform through the GUI.	Searches are usually executed using ISINs.
	d)	Is the existing DSB GUI performing to industry expectations or does it need enhancement – given its role as a meaningful alternative access point?	Search parameters could be provided lists or menus. Users should be able to use self-defined combinations of attributes to search all ISINs. "Creation Date", "First Trade Date" and "Last Update Date" would be helpful additions to the available search parameters.
	e)	Are there any functions or additional information that your firm wishes to add to the existing features within the DSB GUI?	None. We again underline that by consulting on additional service requirements without costing the proposal and assessing the impact on user type fees, the exercise loses substance. Furthermore, the possible outcome that service enhancements are requested by a separate user population to that which provides the funding distorts the logic behind any responses and outcomes.



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	to be next	OSB's template-based architecture is going subject to major enhancements over the twelve months in support of work to provide mic enumeration and hierarchy facilitation.	
1.6	a)	Do you think that the DSB service should be reviewed in order to examine any additional technical enhancements that could be made to facilitate enhanced and/or more efficient integration?	No. MiFID TVs do not support technical enhancements that serve to increase costs to users. We again underline that by consulting on additional service requirements without costing the proposal and assessing the impact on user type fees, the exercise loses substance. Furthermore, the possible outcome that service enhancements are requested by a separate user population to that which provides the funding distorts the logic behind any responses and outcomes.
	b)	If you answered "yes" to 1.6(a) above – could you provide any details of the changes that might improve the system and what benefits would accrue?	N/A
Sectio	n 2: DA	TA SUBMISSION ENHANCEMENTS	
2.1	Proprietary Index Submission Process: The DSB currently supports a workflow that ensures that a Proprietary Index will be made available for the creation of OTC ISINs a maximum of 24 hours (if the request is submitted on a business day) following receipt of the initiating request. This process allows users to submit indices for which they are responsible for later use as an underlying instrument in the creation of OTC derivative product records. The DSB then makes this data available via manual upload on to the DSB website, for download and consumption by users. Any amendments to the list (once available in the DSB's Production systems, but where the underlying index in question has not been used in the creation of an OTC derivative product record) require between two to four weeks to allow for code changes ahead of implementation. The DSB currently updates the Proprietary Index list manually with dependency on the information provided by the users. Validation is undertaken to ensure that each index name remains unique.		



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	a)	Does your firm make use of the proprietary index submission process?	No. MiFID TVs do not make use of the proprietary index submission process.
	b)	If you answered "yes" to 2.1(a) above - do you want the DSB to investigate the creation of a tool to ensure that the submitted information can be easily amended if changes are required by an institution and the underlying data element has not been used to create an OTC ISIN? This would enable users to have changes available in a few days rather than the current 2 to 4-week process.	No. MiFID TVs underline that such developments should be segregated and separately funded.
	c)	Do you consider that there is a need for the new Proprietary Index inclusion timeframe of 24-hours to be reduced?	No.
	d)	If you answered "yes" to 2.1(c) above - what is the required time (from request) for a Proprietary Index to be made available for the creation of OTC ISINs? Could you provide use cases to support this view?	N/A
	e)	If you answered "yes" to 2.1(a) above - do you want the DSB to investigate the provision of an automated user submission process?	N/A
	f)	If you answered "yes" to 2.1(a) above - do you want the DSB to investigate the automated provision of the full list of proprietary indices in a machine-readable format?	N/A
	map	raging the recently introduced ISIN <> LEI ping facility to enhance the quality of credit ence data	
2.2	a)	Does your firm use the DSB to either create or search (direct or via end of day files) for credit derivative reference data?	Yes. MiFID TVs use the DSB to primarily create, but also occasionally search for credit derivative reference data.
	b)	If you answered "yes" to 2.2(a) above – where a user submits an underlying ISIN for a credit default swap, do you want the	Yes. This is one area that could prove useful due to the very incomplete provision of LEIs across both market counterparties and issuers.



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
		DSB to investigate connecting to the new LEI-ISIN mapping API in order to also provide the LEI (in all instances where it is available) as part of the associated OTC ISIN record?	
	c)	Users have suggested that the DSB should leverage the recently developed ISIN-LEI mapping facility to support data submission for Credit Default Swaps (CDS), so that use of the DSB's Corporate CDS product template only allows underlying corporate bond ISINs to be input by users. The same principle also extends to the use of each of the Municipal and Sovereign CDS product templates. Such an enhancement would mean that a user attempting to create a Corporate CDS would not be able to submit an underlying bond ISIN associated with a LEI mapped to a sovereign issuer. Question: If you answered "yes" to 2.2(a) above – do you want the DSB to investigate the provision of supplemental data alongside that contained in the new LEI-ISIN mapping API in order to systematically validate whether the underlying ISIN provided by the user at the time of ISIN creation maps to the type of reference data, the user is seeking to create?	Yes. MiFID TVs active in Credit Derivatives appreciate that this would be a useful enhancement to the current service and would help to 'normalise' the reference data.
	d)	Do you need the DSB to investigate the provision of any other supplemental data that leverages the new ISIN-LEI facility, in order to facilitate your firm's OTC derivative related processes – either pre or post trade?	Yes
	e)	If you answered "yes" to 2.2(d) above – please provide specific examples.	In case of convertible bonds, underlying ISIN (together with underlying issuer (LEI)) and total issued nominal amount.
2.3	and unantice and u	bing of index and/or reference rate names underlying identifiers where these are able ently, DSB users create OTC ISINs and CFI is for index and/or reference rate related atives by selecting the name of the reference and/or underlying index, but frequently report inderlying identifier (usually the underlying in the records submitted to regulators.	



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	name user ISIN I unde unde availa The of the both order derived public OTC consident betw	DSB currently maps underlying equity index es to associated ISINs – based on ad-hoc feedback and updates. Where an underlying mapping exists, the DSB converts the rlying index name into the relevant rlying ISIN, so that only the underlying ISIN is able in the OTC ISIN record. current process requires that users searching TC derivatives on an index need to be aware a associated underlying ISIN and search for the index name and the underlying ISIN in to identify whether the relevant OTC ative data record exists in the DSB database. DSB has received user requests to ctively support systematic mapping (and cation) that would allow users creating an derivative ISIN or CFI code to be able to istently submit either the underlying index ifier or the name, with the DSB mapping een the two to ensure that only a single valid derivative product record is created in each nice.	
	a)	Does your firm use the DSB to create and/or search for OTC ISIN data for derivatives with an index and/or reference rate as an underlying instrument?	Yes. MiFID TVs do use the DSB to create ISIN data for derivatives with an index and/or reference rate as an underlying instrument. These are venue traded instruments and therefore not OTC.
	b)	If you answered "yes" to 2.3(a) above - should the DSB investigate provision of links to sources that might assist with mapping between the underlying index/reference rate names?	Yes. EVIA would be interested to see the possible mapping enhancements.
	c)	If you answered "yes" to 2.3(b) above – do you have a view on which identifiers should be used to assist with the mapping process and the most appropriate source of each identifier?	MiFID TVs would support a comprehensive list of traded underlier names as constituent in generic reference data.



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
2.4	The DSB undertakes a series of data normalization and data validation checks in the course of OTC derivative product record creation, with the current ruleset available here for all products excluding non-standard instruments and here for review. Examples of the best practice published by the DSB is available here . The DSB proactively updates its ruleset in conjunction with support from the Product Committee as part of ongoing data validation exercises. Users are also able to use the DSB's Change Request Process to submit ISIN challenges, with no ISIN challenges having been submitted thus far. Question: Do you wish the DSB to prioritize particular aspects of the review process? If yes, please provide specific examples.		
Section	n 3: SE	RVICE LEVELS	
	GUI r	related amendments:	
	a)	Does your firm primarily rely on use of the DSB GUI?	The DSB GUI is used across EVIA member MiFID TVs, but not exclusively and in many cases only supplementary.
	b)	If you answered "yes" to 3.1(a) above - is the creation of one OTC ISIN at a time satisfactory	Yes. This is an adequate role for the DSB GUI.
3.1	c)	If it is not satisfactory, please could you indicate a (cost effective) acceptable alternative.	Is it in general satisfactory. The creation of sets or bulk instruments by MiFID TVs is otherwise done using other connection alternatives. However, one enhancement could concern the creation of baskets of ISINs (i.e. Equity Derivatives basket), user can only enter 1 ISIN at the time. These baskets may consist of several hundred underlying ISINs and currently, user can only enter them manually one by one, rather than being able to copy a whole list and paste it in the GUI.



#		Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	docu norm PDF	DSB currently provides product mentation (attributes, enumerated values, alisation rules, indices etc.) across several documents that are available to download ugh the DSB website.	
3.2	a)	Do you believe that making this information available through a searchable on-line utility would be of benefit to the user experience?	Yes. EVIA members appreciate that a search functionality could prove more efficient.
	b)	Can you provide any example online utilities that might provide a model for a DSB offering?	A simple search by parameters and reference rates/indices would suffice.
3.3	Phone Support: This query was raised last year and has been revisited in light of a number of		No. MiFID TVs do not support this.
3.4	curre relate thres have feedb moni Ques proce excee	ptable Use Monitoring and Notification: The ent monitoring and notification process and to the DSB's Acceptable Use Policy (AUP) holds is reactive, notifying users once they breached the AUP. The DSB has received back from several users that proactive toring and notification would be preferred. Ition: Should the DSB's AUP monitoring ess be extended to warn users when the end certain percentage levels of their AUP action?	Yes.
Section	n 4: SE	RVICE AVAILABILITY	
4.1	Following feedback from the DSB's second consultation in 2018 ^[1] , the DSB increased the availability of its service from 24x6 to 24x6.5 by reducing weekly downtime to between Saturday 20:00 UTC and Sunday 08:00 UTC. The DSB proposes to preserve the 24x6.5 service hours but to change the period of the weekly downtime from between: Saturday 20:00 UTC and Sunday 08:00 UTC to Sunday 00:30AM UTC and Sunday 12:30PM		N/A

 $^{^{[1]}\ \}underline{https://www.anna-dsb.com/2019-user-fee-and-user-agreement-consultations/\#Consultation2}$



#	Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	The rationale for the proposal is to provide a zero-cost solution to a technical error scenario experienced by some DSB Power Users. The details of the error scenario and the rationale for the change can be found on slides 11 and 12 of the DSB's Technology Advisory Committee (TAC) March 2019 presentation ^[2] . The TAC has agreed in principle to the change, subject to broader industry agreement that the change will not cause undue difficulties for other users. Further details on the TAC deliberation can be found on page 6 of the TAC minutes ^[3] Question: Should the DSB's downtime hours be change to between 00:30AM Sunday UTC and 12:30PM Sunday UTC?	
Sectio	n 5: CYBERSECURITY	
5.1	The DSB utilises a traditional userid / password mechanism for authentication to the DSB GUI. Whilst such a mechanism is common practice, the latest industry best practice now utilises multi-factor authentication (MFA) to provide an additional layer of security. The Applied Cybersecurity Division of the US National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) provides a useful description of MFA and how it works ^[4] . The DSB notes that most industry and government guidelines on cyber- authentication recommend the use of MFA and therefore the DSB would like to receive feedback on whether a migration to MFA should be considered in 2020. Question: Should the DSB GUI support multifactor authentication to match best practice cyber-authentication guidelines?	EVIA holds no opinion. We understand that the DSB does not hold PII data.
5.2	The DSB IT system development and maintenance processes follow a standard Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC), which includes separate phases for design, development, testing and deployment. Security testing of DSB software occurs via regular third-party penetration testing in its User Acceptance Test environment and is not currently embedded within the full SDLC process.	Yes EVIA concurs that this would be helpful, especially when there are risks of cyber-attacks disrupting Production environment.

^[2] https://www.anna-dsb.com/download/20190313-dsb-tac-report-member-final-01/

^[3] https://www.anna-dsb.com/download/dsb-tac-meeting-minutes-13th-march-2019/

^[4] https://www.nist.gov/itl/tig/back-basics-multi-factor-authentication



#	Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	The DSB has been asked whether it will implement current best practice to embed security considerations throughout the entire SDLC by following approaches such as NIST 800-64 ^[5] in order to provide: •Early identification and mitigation of security vulnerabilities and misconfigurations; • Awareness of potential engineering challenges caused by mandatory security controls; • Identification of shared security services and reuse of security strategies and tools; and • Facilitation of informed executive decision making through comprehensive risk management in a timely manner. Question: Should the DSB's Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC) be extended to embed security considerations throughout the SDLC?	
5.3	The DSB currently follows its own proprietary framework for addressing the risk of information security incidents. Conformance to the framework is reviewed annually by the DSB management team and this is validated by an annual third-party assurance programme. The DSB has been asked whether it will implement an industry standard framework for addressing the risk of information security incidents, such as ISO/IEC 27001 (Information security management systems – Requirements) and ISO/IEC 27002 (Information technology — Security techniques — Code of practice for information security controls). The purpose of ISO certification would be to allow the DSB to be formally audited and certified compliant to a widely accepted international standard that guarantees management systematically examines the organisation's information security risks, taking account of the threats, vulnerabilities, and impacts. Question: Should the DSB explore adopting the ISO 2700X standard as its framework for addressing information security risks?	No, MiFID TVs do not see the use case. Currently DSB users would only use login/password, which can show email address that include name/surname/company name. Apart from this, DSB isn't holding any kind of PII, however implementing ISO 27001 just for this alone doesn't seem justifiable.
5.4	The DSB currently follows its own proprietary framework for the protection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Conformance to the framework is reviewed annually by the DSB	No, MiFIR TVs do not see the use case currently. Given the PII data that DSB holds about their users – as long as ANNA DSB is aligned to GDPR, the model for addressing data breaches should be fairly

 $[\]begin{tabular}{l} $[5]$ $https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication 800-64 r 2.pdf \\ [6]$ $https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:27001:ed-2:v1:en \\ \end{tabular}$

^[7] https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:27002:ed-2:v1:en



#	Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
	management team and this is validated by an annual third-party assurance programme. The DSB has been asked whether it will implement an industry standard framework for the protection of PII, such as ISO/IEC 27018 ^[8] (Code of practice for protection of PII in public clouds acting as PII processors). The purpose of ISO certification would be to allow the DSB to be formally audited and certified compliant to a widely accepted international standard that guarantees management is systematically implementing controls to mitigate the risk of a PII data breach. Question: Should the DSB explore adopting the ISO 27018 standard as its framework for addressing data breach risks on Personally Identifiable Information?	comprehensive and ISO/IEC 27018 might not provide a lot of additional benefit.
5.5	In late 2017, the Financial Stability Board (FSB) provided a stock take of publicly released cybersecurity regulations and guidance [9]. Whilst such guidance is not directly applicable to the DSB, the DSB does undertake periodic reviews of regulatory guidance on cybersecurity given the indirect impact as a vendor to regulated entities. The FSB paper described the creation of the role of Chief Information Security Office within 38 of the 56 regulatory schemes reviewed (page 22), with 34 of the schemes also addressing the independence of the cybersecurity function from other business lines. The DSB's cybersecurity function is currently integrated within the core management team in order to achieve a lean management team. Question: Should the DSB explore adding a new role of Chief Information Security Officer to its management team?	No, In view of the public and transparent nature of the data in question, MiFIR TVs do not see the use case currently for a Chief Information Security Officer.

Section 6: AOB

 $[\]begin{array}{l} {}^{[8]}\ \underline{https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/\#iso:std:iso-iec:27018:ed-2:v1:en} \\ {}^{[9]}\ \underline{http://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/P131017-2.pdf} \end{array}$



#	Question for Consultation		Participant's Response					
6.1	How would you prioritize the impored Subject Improved GUI Experience Additional GUI Functionality Reduced Template Release Time Automated Prop Index Creation Re-modelled Template-based Architecture Greater range of Underlying IDs Greater range of supported products Improved Technical Support Improved Product Documentation Reduced Service Downtime Improved Cybersecurity Stricter ID Creation Data Validation Automated Ref Rate Mapping	1=Le Impo N/ A	of theast a	nd 5			you 5 X X X X	r organization? Comment
6.2	What other operational enhanceme would you like to see the DSB make		Nor	ne.				
6.3	What additional services would you like to see the DSB provide? Please provide examples or business cases where relevant.		Additional reference data. This may be useful could be used for reporting and validation of internal data.					
6.4	What are the top three changes you would like to see the DSB make to better serve your institution's needs (including any that may have been listed above)? Listed in order of preference.		Additional reference data More product templates GUI functionality for baskets / Total Return Swaps					



#	Question for Consultation	Participant's Response
6.5	Please insert any other comments you wish to provide	Any additional service requirements without costing the proposal and assessing the impact on user type fees, the exercise loses substance. Furthermore, the possible outcome that service enhancements are requested by a separate user population to that which provides the funding distorts the logic behind any responses and outcomes.